



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DHW 08-04

NAVAIRWD
COUNSEL GROUP (CODE K0000D)
1 ADMINISTRATION CIRCLE
CHINA LAKE CA 93555-6100

COPY MAILED

AUG 31 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Reed et al. :
Application No. 10/036,882 : **ON PETITION**
Filed: 2 January, 2002 :
Att'y Docket No. 79377 :

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)¹, filed on 10 August, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on 20 March, 2004, for failure to timely submit a reply to the non-final Office action mailed on 19 December, 2003, which set a three (3) month shortened

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

statutory period for reply. No extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 29 June, 2004. The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) filed on 16 July, 2004, was dismissed on 27 July, 2004.

An amendment was submitted with the petition filed on 16 July, 2004, as the required reply.

The address listed on the petition is different than the correspondence address of record. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address noted on the petition. However, until otherwise instructed, all future correspondence regarding this application will be mailed solely to the address of record.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center 1700 for examination in due course.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (703)308-6918.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

cc: Charlene Haley
NAWCWD CODE: K00000D
1 ADMINISTRATION CIRCLE STOP 1009
CHINA LAKE CA 93555-6100